

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.7068 of 2026

-
1. Amit Mahato, aged about 26 years, S/o- Baijnath Mahato.
 2. Dharmendra Mahato @ Dharmendra Mahto, aged about 21 years, S/o Futukchand Mahato @ Futuchand Mahato,
Both are R/o Village- Bhelatand, P.O.- Nagnagar, P.S.- Barwadda,
District- Dhanbad.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Pratiush Lala, Advocate
Ms. Neha Mehta, Advocate.
Ms. Akriti Shree, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Nawin Kumar Singh, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-04 Dated:-09-09-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have been made accused in connection with Loyabad P.S. Case No.10 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 126(2), 118(2), 117(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners were members of an unlawful assembly and in prosecution of common object of the assembly being armed with deadly weapons, committed murder of Kishun Rawani and attempted to murder the informant. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioners is false. It is then submitted that the main allegation is against Rajni Rawani of leading the unlawful assembly and the allegation of firing is upon Mukesh Singh; as is evident from the statement of the witness Ajay Singh under Section 180 of the B.N.S.S., 2023 by the police during the investigation of the case. It is also submitted that there is no specific

allegation against the petitioner, except that the petitioners are members of an unlawful assembly. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 21.05.2026 as mentioned in para-01 of the instant bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-18 of the instant bail application. It is also submitted that the co-accused, with similar allegations, has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 03.09.2026 passed in B.A. No.8174 of 2026. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners undertake to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertake not to annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M., Dhanbad in connection with Loyabad P.S. Case No.10 of 2026 **with the condition that they will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish their mobile numbers and photocopy of the Aadhar Cards in the court below with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile numbers during the trial of the case and they will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 09.09.2026
Amar/