

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

C.M.P. No. 401 of 2022

Pawan Sharma, son/legal representative of Late Ashok Kumar Sharma, resident of Azad Chowk, Harmu, Ranchi

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Jharkhand State Housing Board, Harmu Housing Colony, Ranchi through its Managing Director
2. The Managing Director, Jharkhand State Housing Board, Harmu Housing Colony, Ranchi
3. The Estate Officer, Jharkhand State Housing Board, Harmu Housing Colony, Ranchi
4. The Exeuctive Engineer, Jharkhand State Housing Board, Harmu Housing Colony, Ranchi
5. The Law Officer, Jharkhand State Housing Board, Harmu Housing Colony, Ranchi
6. The Assistant Engineer, Jharkhand State Housing Board, Harmu Housing Colony, Ranchi
7. The Revenue Officer, Jharkhand State Housing Board, Harmu Housing Colony, Ranchi
8. The Bihar State Housing Board, Patna through its Managing Director

.... .. Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
(through online mode)

For the JSHB : Mr. Gourav Raj, A.C. to
Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate

Order No. 05

Dated: 13.11.2025

The present case is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. The present C.M.P. has been filed for restoration of W.P.(C) No. 6258 of 2011 which was dismissed as not pressed vide order dated 14.02.2022.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner being the son of the original writ petitioner- Ashok Kumar Sharma, had no idea about the pendency of W.P.(C) No. 6258 of 2011 and due to the said reason, he could not contact with the concerned counsel after demise of his father. Under the said circumstance, the writ petition was dismissed as not pressed vide order dated 14.02.2022.

4. It is further submitted the petitioner being the heir of the deceased original writ petitioner wants to contest the matter as against the opposite party- Jharkhand State Housing Board. Hence, the writ petition may be ordered to be restored.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party- Jharkhand State Housing Board has no objection to the said prayer.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and for the reasons stated in the present C.M.P., W.P.(C) No. 6258 of 2011 is restored to its original file and stage.

7. The present C.M.P. is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

November 13, 2025
Ritesh/