

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.7761 of 2026

Shankar Turi, Son of Bishpat Turi (Brihaspat Turi), aged about 45 years, Resident of Village- Bangaon (Bangdiha), Post- Giridih, P.S. Mufassil, District- Giridih, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sumit Prakash, Advocate

For the State : Ms. Amrita Kumari, Addl.P.P.

Order No:-03 Dated:-09-09-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Giridih (Town) P.S. Case No. 219 of 2010 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 120(B), 399, 402, 224, 225, 225(B), 353, 307 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was preparing to commit dacoity and attempted to murder police personnel by firing upon them. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that petitioner earlier granted bail, but he jumped the bail and absconded and only after he was arrested in connection with S.T. Case No. 143 of 2018 in which case he has been convicted subsequently for having committed *inter alia* punishable under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code, he has been remanded in this case. It is further submitted that though charge has been framed, but the petitioner does not know that how many witnesses have been examined in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.02.2026 as mentioned in para-01 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State on the other hand opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has once absconded and keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and his criminal antecedents; there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be released on bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and the chance of his absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail and also his criminal antecedent, this Court is not inclined to admit the above-named petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated:- 09.09.2026
Amar/