

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 1115 of 2023

Ram Kumar Singh @ Ramu Kumar Singh	...	Appellant
Versus		
The State of Jharkhand	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMBUJ NATH

For the Appellant	: Mr. Manoj Kr. Choubey, Advocate
For the State	: Mrs. Shweta Singh, A.P.P.

15/10.07.2025

I.A. No. 8484 of 2025

Heard Mr. Manoj Kr. Choubey, the learned counsel for the appellant and Mrs. Shweta Singh, the learned A.P.P. for the State.

This application has been preferred by the appellant for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

The appellant has been convicted for the offences under section 366 of the Indian Penal Code and section 6 of the POCSO Act and has been sentenced to undergo RI for 20 years along with a fine of Rs.5000/- for the offence under section 6 of the POCSO Act.

It has been alleged that the appellant had enticed away the minor daughter of the informant on the pretext of marriage and when the appellant was contacted, he had given a threat.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the appellant, while referring to the evidence of the victim who has been examined as PW-1, that she had already solemnized marriage with the appellant and has also given birth to a child. The learned counsel submits that the appellant was granted provisional bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in IA No. 6014 of 2023 in order to get his marriage registered with the victim but it has come to his knowledge that the victim had solemnized marriage with another person. The learned counsel has further submitted that the appellant was all along on bail during trial. The learned counsel adds that the evidence of PW-1 would clearly indicate that there was a love affair between the appellant and the victim.

Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

On consideration of the evidence of PW-1 and the subsequent developments which has been enumerated by the learned counsel for the appellant, we are inclined to admit the appellant on bail. Accordingly, during the pendency of this appeal, the appellant is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, POCSO Act, Dhanbad in Spl.(POCSO) Case No.

63 of 2020.

I.A. stands disposed of.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

S.B.

(AMBUJ NATH, J.)