

(2026:JHHC:27117)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.6940 of 2026

Jamiruddin Ansari, aged about 42 years, son of Harun Rashid,
resident of Village Dharampur, P.O.-Majhladih, P.S.-Narayanpur,
District-Jamtara (Jharkhand).

				Petitioner
	Versus			
The State of Jharkhand				Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Sudhanshu Kr. Deo, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Jitendra Pandey, Addl.P.P
For the Informant	: Mr. K.K. Mishra, Advocate

Order No.04 Dated- 09-09-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Narayanpur P.S. Case No. 20 of 2026 instituted under Section 103(1), 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in criminal conspiracy with the co-accused persons, committed murder of the husband of the informant namely Fukran Ansari. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false and the petitioner is not named in the FIR. It is further submitted that so far 10 witnesses have been examined till 02.09.2026 and except some of the witnesses saying that earlier the petitioner threatened the deceased of dire consequences and attempted to kill him, there is no other material in the record to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is next submitted that without putting the petitioner on TIP, as mentioned in para-14 of this bail application, charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in jail custody since 18.05.2026, as mentioned in para-19 of this bail application. It is then submitted that the co-accused has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 07.07.2026 in B.A. No.5291 of

2026. It is next submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case and undertakes not to annoy or disturb the informant or the other witness of the case in any manner during trial of the case, hence, the petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. and the learned counsel for the informant on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering submissions of learned counsels and the facts as stated above, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Hence, the court below is directed to release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jamtara in connection with Narayanpur P.S. Case No. 20 of 2026 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

09/09/2026
Abhiraj/