

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5345 of 2025

Suklal Pahariya @ Suklal Paharia, aged 39 years, S/o Late
Lakhan Pahariya, resident of C/o Late Lakhan Pahariya, Tola
Pahariya Nagri, Panchayat – Asanbani, PO – Kandarbera, PS –
Chandil, Asanbani, District – Saraikela Kharsawan – 832401,
Jharkhand

.... **Petitioner**

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Chandrajit Mukherjee, Advocate

For the State :- Ms. Mohua Palit, Advocate

06/13.11.2025 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well
as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Chandil P.S. Case No.02 of 2023, for the alleged offences registered
under Sections 341, 323, 386,504, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code
pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Chandil.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. He further
submits that the informant is said to be public figure and the
allegations are made that on 29.12.2022 threatening and demand
of Rs.2,00,000/- has been made by the petitioner and another,
however, the FIR has been registered on 02.01.2023. He then
submits that in the FIR false allegations are further made that there

are criminal cases pending against the petitioner which is also false. He then submits that by the last order case diary was called for and in the case diary also the criminal case pending against the petitioner has not come. On this ground, he submits that the anticipatory bail may kindly be granted to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer and submits that the case diary has been received. She further submits that another co-accused has been apprehended, however, the petitioner has not been apprehended and he is not cooperating.

5. In view of the FIR it transpires that the informant happened to be the public figure and the allegation is made that occurrence is said to be dated 29.12.2022 wherein the FIR was lodged on 02.01.2023 and in the FIR it has also been alleged that there are criminal cases pending against the petitioner, however, in the case diary there is no materials to suggest that the other criminal cases are pending against the petitioner and informant happened to be the public figure and the occurrence was there of 29.12.2022 and he was bound to register the FIR on the same day. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to provide anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner, above named, is hereby directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today, and in the event of his surrender/arrest, the petitioner, above named, shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of

Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand), with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Chandil in connection with Chandil P.S. Case No.02 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated 13.11.2025
Sangam/