

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 10115 of 2025

Binod Ray, aged about 29 years, Son of Rijhu Rai, Resident of Village – Saharpur, P.O. & P.S. – Nimiya ghat, Distric – Giridih.

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anupam Anand, Advocate
[Through V.C.]

For the State : Mr. Tarun Kumar, A.P.P.

Order No. 08/Dated: 29th January, 2026

Heard, learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has been made an accused in connection with Nimiaghat P.S. Case No. 75 of 2023 (S.T. Case No.142 of 2024) registered for the offences punishable under Sections 379/413/414/34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. As per FIR, it is alleged that on 18.09.2023, police along with armed force apprehended the petitioner for possessing a motorcycle without documentation, which was found to be stolen. During interrogation, petitioner confessed about stealing of multiple motorcycles and selling them to persons like Sukhdeo Kumar and Taslim Ansari. Following his confession, the police conducted raids, recovered various stolen motorcycles and arrested Sukhdeo Kumar and Rajesh Saw, while Taslim Ansari was not found at his residence.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 06.09.2024 in B.A. No. 6523 of 2024 with liberty that if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after the aforesaid period. Learned counsel has further submitted that the said period has already been completed, but the trial of the case has not been concluded and out of seven charge sheeted witnesses, only five

witnesses have been examined and two witnesses are yet to be examined. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 19.09.2023 without any rhyme and reason. Petitioner undertakes to co-operate in trial of the case and shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed by the trial court and also abide by all terms and conditions which may be imposed in the matter of granting bail to the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner may be extended the privilege of bail.

5. Learned Addl.P.P appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. It appears that in terms of order dated 31.10.2025, status report of the case was called for and the same has been received. From perusal of status report dated 02.12.2025, it appears that out of seven charge sheeted witnesses five witnesses have been examined and the last witness was examined on 30.04.2025 and the trial court prayed for six months' period to conclude the trial.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, the nature of allegation against petitioner coupled with materials available on record and also period of custody, I am inclined to release the above-named petitioner, on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner named above, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-V, Giridih, in connection with Nimiaghat P.S. Case No. 75 of 2023 (S.T. No. 142 of 2024), subject to the conditions:

(1) Petitioner shall remain physically present on each and every date till the conclusion of the trial of this case unless prevented from sufficient cause to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court.

(2) Petitioner shall not indulge in any or other similar offence till the conclusion of the trial.

(3) Petitioner shall not indulge in tampering with the prosecution evidences or influencing the prosecution witnesses.

8. In case of violation of the any of the conditions, the bail of the petitioner shall be cancelled and shall be taken into custody by the Learned Trial Court.

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

January 29, 2026

Sunil/

Uploaded on : 31.01.2026