

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**W.P.(S). No. 4143 of 2024**

|                                  |     |     |                    |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|--------------------|
| Sarita Kouriyar Munda & Others.  | ... | ... | <b>Petitioners</b> |
| Versus                           |     |     |                    |
| The State of Jharkhand & Others. | ... | ... | <b>Respondents</b> |

---

**CORAM :HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S. N. PATHAK**

---

|                          |                                                                                                           |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioners      | : Mr. Harendra Kumar Mahato, Advocate<br>: Mrs. Ahalya Mahato, Advocate<br>: Ms. Jyotsna Mahato, Advocate |
| For the State            | : Mr. Kishore Kumar Sinha, Sc-V<br>: Mr. Krishna Prajapati, AC to SC-V                                    |
| For the Resp. Nos.2 to 4 | : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Sinha, Advocate                                                                        |

---

**05/30<sup>th</sup> September 2024**

1. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioners are working on sanctioned and vacant post as on contractual basis from 2004 and 2010 onwards and they have prayed for regularization.
2. On 05.08.2024 the matter was heard on I.A. No. 7819 of 2024 whereby petitioners have prayed for amending the prayer portion of the original writ petition to the effect that during the pendency of the writ petition, an order of termination has been passed by the respondents though the matter was subjudiced before this Court.
3. This Court after hearing the learned counsel for the parties allowed the said interlocutory application and directed the petitioners to make suitable corrections in the prayer portion of the original writ application and the respondents were directed to file counter affidavit to the I.A. No. 7819 of 2024.
4. In compliance thereof, though counter affidavit has been filed by the respondents but nothing has been whispered as to why during the pendency of the instant writ petition, services of the petitioners have been terminated. Under such circumstances, the respondents are directed to file a specific affidavit stating therein as to why the services of the petitioners have not been considered for regularization and when the matter was subjudiced before this Court why they have been terminated from services.

5. List this case under the heading for ‘Final Disposal’ after on 22<sup>nd</sup> October 2024.

**(Dr. S. N. Pathak, J.)**

Aditi