

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Appeal (DB) No. 830 of 2025

Shatrudhan Kumar Chauhan @ Chhoti Kumar	...		Appellant
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand	...		Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant	:	Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pandey No. 2, Advocate
For the State	:	Spl.P.P.

05/13.03.2026

I.A. No. 799 of 2026

Heard Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pandey No.2, the learned counsel for the appellant and the learned Spl.P.P.

The prayer for bail of the appellant was earlier rejected in I.A No.8879 of 2025.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant was all along on bail during trial. It has further been submitted that intermediary quantity of brown sugar was recovered from the possession of the appellant as per the case of the prosecution.

The learned Spl.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant.

It appears that 79.430 grams of brown sugar was recovered from the possession of the appellant and on consideration of the grounds, which have been taken by the learned counsel for the appellant, the prayer for bail of the appellant has already been rejected vide order dated 17.09.2025. There is no fresh ground to reconsider the prayer for bail of the appellant. The same stands rejected once again.

I.A. stands rejected.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)

(PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA, J.)

13.03.2026

S.B.

Uploaded on 16.03.2026