

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 3903 of 2026

Keshav Singh, aged about 22 years, S/o Rakesh Singh, R/o H. No.67, Kunwar Singh Road, Rajendra Nagar, Ulidih, near Asha Palace, Mango, Town-Jamshedpur, P.O. Mango, P.S. Mango (Ulidih), District- East Singhbhum, Jharkhand
... **Petitioner**

-Versus-

The State of Jharkhand

... **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner	: Mr. K. Hari, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Suraj Deo Munda, A.P.P.
For the Informant	: Mr. Nihilesh Kr. Chatterjee, Advocate

02/20.07.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, learned counsel appearing for the State and learned counsel appearing for the informant.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mango (Ulidih) P.S. Case No.121/2026 dated 22.05.2026, registered for the offence under Sections 308(3), 117(2), 109(1), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023, pending in the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Jamshedpur.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and in a routine way, all the family members have been made accused. He further submits that grandfather of the petitioner has left for his heavenly abode in the year 2017, however, he has also been made accused in the FIR. To buttress this argument, he refers Annexure-2 which is the death certificate of grandfather of the petitioner. He next submits that there is political rivalry between the uncle of the petitioner and the informant and in view of that, the altercation took place. He also submits that the petitioner is a student and he is studying Bachelor of Computer Application from Arka Jain University, Jamshedpur. He then submits that the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent, as disclosed

in paragraph 14 of this application.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State and informant jointly opposed the prayer and submit that the allegations are there of assault and pursuant to that, injury has been received by the informant. Learned counsel appearing for the informant further adds that the allegation of snatching is also there.

5. Annexure-2 is the document, which suggests that grandfather of the petitioner has left for his heavenly abode in the year 2017 and he has also been made accused in the FIR. It has been pointed out that due to political rivalry between the uncle of the petitioner and informant, the altercation took place. Annexure-4 is the ID Card of the petitioner, which suggests that the petitioner is a student of Bachelor of Computer Application from Arka Jain University, Jamshedpur. Further, the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent. The allegations are there against the petitioner of assault on leg. In the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the above-named petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender, he shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Jamshedpur in connection with Mango (Ulidihi) P.S. Case No.121/2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated: 20th July, 2026
Ajay/*