

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
C.M.P. No.668 of 2024

Dhaneshwar Mahto	...	Petitioner
Versus		
Jailal Mahto & Others	...	Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
For the OPs	: Mr. Lina Shakti, Advocate

Order No:-06 Dated:-19-03-2026

I.A. No.13373 of 2025

Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this interlocutory application has been filed with the prayer to substitute the legal representatives of the opposite party no.7, 9 and 10 but at the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not press the prayer for substitution of legal representatives of the opposite party no.9 & 10 as they died before filing of this Cr.M.P., hence, the prayer for substitution of the legal representatives of the opposite party no.9 & 10 is rejected as not pressed. The petitioner confines his prayer, only to substitute the legal representative of the deceased opposite party no.7, who died on 03.09.2024 during the pendency of this case leaving behind his only five legal representatives whose names, parentage and addresses has been mentioned in para-7 of this interlocutory application.

Considering the facts of the case, the substitution of five legal representatives of the deceased opposite party no.7 whose names, parentage and addresses has been mentioned in Para-7 of this interlocutory application is allowed.

Registry is directed to substitute the five legal representatives of the Opposite Party No.7 whose names, parentage and addresses has been mentioned in Para-7 of this interlocutory application as Opposite Party No.7(a) to 7(e) and mention the word 'dead' against the opposite

party no.7 namely Ram Dular Mahto @ Dular Mahto in the cause title of this civil miscellaneous petition with red ink.

This interlocutory application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

C.M.P. No.668 of 2024

Issue notice to the opposite party No.7(a) to 7(e).

The petitioner is directed to file requisites for service of notice upon the opposite party No.7(a) to 7(e) by speed post as well as under process of the court within two weeks failing which; this C.M.P. shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Bench.

Rule is made returnable within six weeks.

In case the requisites are filed, list this case after receipt of the service report of the notice issued to the opposite party No. 7(a) to 7(e).

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)