

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(S) No.654 of 2018

Satyadeo Mohan Ghosh Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Others... .. Respondents

With
W.P.(S) No.2984 of 2016

Naseem Ali & Others Petitioners
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Others... .. Respondents

With
W.P.(S) No.3027 of 2016

Ashok Kumar Roy & Others Petitioners
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Others... .. Respondents

With
W.P.(S) No.3031 of 2016

Pradip Kumar Gupta & Others Petitioners
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Others... .. Respondents

With
W.P.(S) No.5726 of 2017

Sanat Kumar Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Others... .. Respondents

With
W.P.(S) No.8 of 2018

Diploma Engineers Association, Jharkhand through its
General Secretary Shekhar Kumar... .. Petitioner
Versus

The State of Jharkhand and Others... .. Respondents

With
W.P.(S) No.2189 of 2018

Shyam Das Singh Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand and Others... .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Jitendra Singh, Sr. Advocate : Ms. Amrita Sinha, Advocate Mr. Yash Singh, Advocate Mr. Ishaan Singh, Advocate Ms. Sweta Suman, Advocate [In WPS Nos. 654/2018, 2189/2016 & 5726/2017] : Mr. A.K. Sahani, Advocate [In WPS No. 08/2018] : Mr. Sameer Saurabh, Advocate : Ms. Divya, Advocate : Ms. Isha Kaushik, Advocate : Mr. Vishal Kumar, Advocate [In WPS Nos. 654/18, 5726/17 & 2189/18]
For the Resp-State	: Mr. Rajiv Ranjan, A.G. : Mr. Shray Mishra, AC to AG
For Res. No.6-JESA	: Mr. Mahesh Tiwari, Advocate Mr. Krishna Murari, Advocate : Mr. Ritesh Kr. Pathak, Advocate : Mr. Raj Vardhan, Advocate

Order No. 43/Dated 4th August, 2025

1. In the change circumstances, as has been submitted by the learned Advocate General, based upon the averment made in the interlocutory application being I.A. No.10336 of 2025, that after the rules having been approved by some of the Departments, it is now lying pending before the Jharkhand Public Service Commission (in short “J.P.S.C.”) for its opinion.
2. This Court, therefore, is of the view that the J.P.S.C. through its Secretary, needs to be impleaded as party respondent.
3. Learned counsel for the writ petitioners are directed to implead Jharkhand Public Service Commission through its Secretary as party respondent, in all the cases.
4. It has been informed that Mr. Sanjay Piprawall, is the

retained counsel for the J.P.S.C.

5. Let the copy of the writ petition along with interlocutory application where the averment has been made by the State that the issue of finalization of Rule is lying pending before the J.P.S.C., be supplied to Mr. Sanjay Piprawall, learned counsel, by tomorrow, i.e., 05.08.2025.
6. Learned counsel for the J.P.S.C. is directed to seek instruction as to which shortest possible time, the opinion which has been sought on the issue of formulation of Rule, be communicated to the State.
7. With the consent of the parties, let these matters be listed on **12th August, 2025**.
8. In the meanwhile, let response to the interlocutory application being I.A. No.11333 of 2024, be filed by the respondent-State.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

Rohit/