

Dipali Das	...	...	Petitioner
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand & Ors.		...	Respondents

06/05.12.2023 **I.A. No. 9914 of 2023**

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as 'Anganwadi Sevika' in Anganwadi Centre-Das Tola (III), Chas (Town), Bokaro vide memo no. 378 dated 14.10.2004 issued by the Child Development Project Officer, Chas (Town), Bokaro (the respondent no. 5). However, subsequently, the petitioner was terminated from the said assignment vide memo no. 462 dated 10.08.2017 issued by the respondent no. 5. The petitioner, thereafter, preferred a writ petition being W.P.(S) No. 5146 of 2017 challenging the said order/memo. The said writ petition was disposed of vide order dated 04.04.2019 setting aside the order/memo dated 10.08.2017 with a direction to the respondent no. 5 to pass an appropriate order. However, since the claim of the petitioner for being reinstated as 'Anganwadi Sevika' in the said center was rejected by the respondent no. 5, the petitioner was forced to prefer another writ petition being W.P.(S) No. 6133 of 2019. The said writ petition was disposed of vide order dated 17.06.2020 with a direction to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro (the respondent no. 2), who, in turn, was directed to consider her case

in accordance with law. Thereafter, the petitioner preferred representation before the respondent no. 2, who, vide order dated 31.12.2020, rejected her claim which would be evident from letter no. 52 dated 08.01.2021 (Annexure-12 to the writ petition) issued by the District Social Welfare Officer, Bokaro (the respondent no. 4) to the respondent no. 5 which was communicated to the petitioner vide memo. 49 dated 11.01.2021 issued by the respondent no. 5. However, the order by which the claim of the petitioner was rejected by the respondent no. 2, has not been communicated to her. Moreover, in the petitioner's place, the respondent authorities appointed another lady namely Pinki Devi whose description has already been given hereinabove. Under the said circumstance, the petitioner may be allowed to implead Pinki Devi as respondent no. 6 in the present writ petition.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering that Pinki Devi whose description has been given hereinabove, is necessary party in the present case, the petitioner is permitted to implead her as respondent no. 6 in the present writ petition.

4. Necessary insertion in the cause title of the writ petition be made by the counsel for the petitioner in course of the day.

5. I.A. No. 9914 of 2023 stands disposed of.

W.P.(S) No. 3124 of 2022

6. Issue notice to the newly impleaded respondent no. 6 under registered cover with A/D as well as through ordinary process for which requisites etc. must be filed within a week.

7. Put up this case under appropriate heading on 07.02.2024.