

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 7745 of 2026

Ratan Madiya @Madaiya aged about 28 years S/o-Late Debu Madiya
@ Madaiya R/o-Village-- Taldiha Ganpura, P.O. & P.S. --Pakuria
District -Pakur **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vishnupad Singh, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Ms. Bandana Sinha, APP

- 08/09.09.2026 Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. A counter affidavit has been filed during the court proceedings, which is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with S.T. Case No. 215 of 2025 arising out of Pakuria P.S. Case No. 38 of 2025, registered under Sections 103(1), 238 read with section 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, now pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Pakur.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 11.09.2025 and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The learned counsel has submitted that the deceased was not wife of the petitioner, but she was living with him at one point of time.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the petitioner has not been named in the First Information Report and except circumstantial evidence, there is nothing against the petitioner.
6. Learned counsel for the state, while referring to the counter affidavit, has submitted that on the basis of the confessional statement of the petitioner, the slipper of the victim and broken bangles were seized by the police and the victim has been brutally murdered. She further submits that the statement of the witness, who happens to be cousin brother of the petitioner, reveals that on the previous night of the incident, the petitioner had come to him along with the deceased

lady, the petitioner introduced her as his wife and both of them left and on the next day morning at 4 o'clock the petitioner came alone and stated that something serious has happened and immediately left.

7. In view of the materials placed on record during investigation and recovery on the basis of confessional statement of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and hence, this bail application is rejected.

8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through "FAX/email".

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order:09.09.2026

Pankaj

Date of Uploading:10.09.2026