

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**C.M.P. No.844 of 2025**

|                           |        |      |      |                   |
|---------------------------|--------|------|------|-------------------|
| Ajit Kumar Bhadani        | ....   | .... | .... | Petitioner        |
|                           | Versus |      |      |                   |
| Praveen Bhadani & Others. |        |      |      |                   |
|                           | ....   | .... | .... | Opposite Parties. |

**With  
C.M.P. No.852 of 2025**

|                           |        |      |      |                   |
|---------------------------|--------|------|------|-------------------|
| Ajit Kumar Bhadani        | ....   | .... | .... | Petitioner        |
|                           | Versus |      |      |                   |
| Praveen Bhadani & Others. |        |      |      |                   |
|                           | ....   | .... | .... | Opposite Parties. |

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY**

For the Petitioner : Mr. R.N. Sahay, Sr.Advocate.  
Mr. Yashvardhan, Advocate.

For the Opp. Parties : Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate.  
Mr. J.J. Sanga, Advocate.  
Mr. Sumit Prakash, Advocate.  
: Mr. Peeyush Krishna Choudhary, Advocate.  
Ms. Binita Sahay, Advocate.  
Mr. Amrit Anunay, Advocate.

**Order No.10 Dated- 29-07-2026**

Heard the parties.

Learned Senior counsel submits that this Civil Miscellaneous Petition has been filed challenging the order dated 10.07.2019 passed by the learned Civil Judge, Senior Division-I, Giridih now pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Giridih whereby and whereunder the learned court consequent upon death of the 'Director' of the company in the name and style of M/s. Chattu Ram Horil Ram Pvt. Ltd.; has allowed the said 'Director' to be substituted by his legal heir namely 'Praveen Bhadani' only because he is the son of Dayanand Prasad Bhadani, even though Praveen Bhadani is not the 'Director' of M/s Chattu Ram Horil Ram Pvt. Ltd. It is next submitted that the petitioner herein namely Ajit Kumar Bhadani who is the constituted Attorney of the

said company in the name and style of M/s Chattu Ram Horil Ram Pvt. Ltd. and as Praveen Bhadani is not a 'Director of the company, hence, now the company has decided to change its description as the plaintiff in Original Suit No. 2 of 1996 of the Court of Civil Judge Junior Division-I, Giridih, by instead of being represented by its Director, it will be represented by its constituted Attorney and the petitioner undertakes that he will file a petition for amendment of the plaint in the said Original Suit No. 2 of 1996 which has been renumbered as Original Suit No. 122 of 2025 of the court of Civil Judge, Junior Division, Giridih at or before 10:40 A.M. on 30.07.2026 along with the relevant documents to support his claim, but the only concern of the petitioner is that since the said suit has been fixed for judgment on 30.07.2026, if the judgment is pronounced before the disposal of the amendment petition to be filed by the petitioner Ajit Kumar Bhadani as constituted Attorney of the said company namely M/s Chattu Ram Horil Ram Pvt. Ltd.; the petitioner and also the company namely M/s Chattu Ram Horil Ram Pvt. Ltd. will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Giridih be directed that in case the petitioner files the petition under Order VI Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure to amend the description of the plaintiff in the cause title of the plaint of said Original Suit No. 2 of 1996 which has been renumbered as Original Suit No. 122 of 2025 first the appropriate orders be passed in respect of the said amendment petition to be filed, after hearing the parties.

Considering the aforesaid facts, it is ordered that in case the petitioner files any petition under Order VI Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure by 10:40 A.M. on 30.07.2026, the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Giridih is directed to first consider the same on its own merit after giving opportunity of being heard to the parties concerned.

It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion regarding the merits of the petition to be filed by the petitioner and the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Giridih is expected to pass orders as per the law on its own merits; without being prejudiced by this order. It is also made clear that in case the petitioner fails to file the petition

by 10:40 A.M. on 30.07.2026, the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Giridih may pronounce the judgement on 30.07.2026.

Perusal of the record reveals that vide order dated 27.07.2026, prayer for time was allowed to the petitioner subject to deposit of Rs.1,000/- by the petitioner with the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority (JHALSA) and today also learned counsel for the petitioner again prays for time.

Prayer for time is allowed subject to deposit of Rs.2,000/- by the petitioner with the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority (JHALSA) within four weeks from the date of this order, failing which, this case shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Bench.

List this case after four weeks, in case, the petitioner files the proof of deposit of Rs.2,000/- by the petitioner with the Jharkhand State Legal Services Authority (JHALSA) within four weeks from the date of this order.

**(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)**

29/07/2026  
Amar/