

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S) No. 3099 of 2022

Niten Pawar

.. Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and Ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the petitioner(s):
For UOI

Ms. Sanskriti Shahini, Advocate.
M/s Radha Krishna Gupta & Kiren S. Khoya, CGC.

11/21.01.2026: The employee- Puran Pawar @ Puran Ram Balmiki got a suit instituted for divorce against his wife Tofa Devi. The said matrimonial Title Suit No. 155 of 2008 was decreed *ex parte* and the divorce was granted.

From page 30 of the writ petition, which is supposed to be a service book/family history-sheet, duly attested by the Principal, Kendriya Vidyalaya, Hinoo, Ranchi, I find that the name of one Mrs. Pinki Devi, as the wife of the employee is entered. Thus, *prima facie*, it gives an impression that after the order of divorce, the deceased had married with Pinki Devi.

Be it noted that the reference of decree of divorce has already been mentioned in the family history-sheet, which is at page 30 of the writ petition. The said Pinki Devi is not a party to this writ petition.

The petitioner is directed to implead the said Pinki Devi as party respondent in the cause title of this petition.

Let necessary correction be carried out in the cause title of this petition in red ink within a week.

Issue notice to newly added respondent- Pinki Devi, for which, requisites etc. under ordinary process as well as Speed Post must be filed within four weeks.

21st January, 2026
Anu-CP2.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)