

(2026:JHHC:20703)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.6473 of 2026

-
1. Prem Oraon, aged about 47 years,
 2. Santosh Oraon, aged about 35 years,
- Both sons of Ramdev Oraon, resident of Village-Lai, P.O.-Sarju,
P.S-Garu, District-Latehar, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Ganesh Ram, Advocate
	: Mr. Prabhash Kumar, Advocate
	: Ms. Pratima Kumari, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Saket Kumar, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 13-07-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Garu P.S. Case No.07 of 2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No.457 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons has committed murder of the father of the informant namely Tetra Oraon. It is next submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are all false. It is then submitted that the petitioners have been implicated in this case only on the basis of the suspicion as the petitioners have earlier threatened to kill the deceased because of land dispute between them. It is further submitted that the charge sheet has been submitted in this case, but no witnesses have been examined as yet. It is also submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para-10 of this bail application. It is further submitted that the petitioners undertake to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertake that they will not annoy or disturb the informant/victim or the witnesses of the case in any manner during

the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 22.04.2026 as has been mentioned in para-09 of this bail application. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioners on bail. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Latehar in connection with Garu P.S. Case No.07 of 2026 corresponding to G.R. Case No.457 of 2026 with the condition that the petitioners will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish their mobile numbers and photocopy of the Aadhar Cards with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile numbers during the trial of the case, with further condition that they will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

13/07/2026

Abhiraj/