IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
M. A. No. 435 of 2025

Mukesh Kumar Agarwal .... Appellant

Versus

Kiran Tigga & Ors. … …. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GAUTAM KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant :Mr. V.P. Singh, Sr. Advocate
:Ms. Ayushi, Advocate
For the Respondents :

Order No. 05 / Dated : 27.11.2025.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel on behalf of the appellant that the instant appeal has been preferred by the owner of the vehicle against the right to recovery granted in favour of the insurer-respondent no. 6.

There is delay of 889 days in preferring the instant appeal for which for condonation of delay interlocutory application being I.A. No. 8366 of 2025 has been filed.

In view of the fact that the challenge is with respect to the liability fixed on the owner of the vehicle and the appeal relates to dispute between the insurer and the insured, therefore, the learned counsel for the appellant is directed to serve notice upon the Insurance-Company (respondent no. 6) for which requisites etc. under speed post as well as under ordinary process must be filed within two weeks.

So far as the other respondents/claimants are concerned, as the compensation, *per se,* has not been challenged, therefore, the requirement of notice on the remaining respondents is dispensed with for the present.

Let this case be listed after six weeks.

(Gautam Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Pawan/ -
Uploaded
28.11.2025