

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 5143 of 2025

1. Sanjeet Bhuiyan @ Sanjeet Bharti aged about 40 years son of Late Rajendra Bharti @ Bhuiyan
2. Akshay Bharti @ Akshay Kumar 25 aged about son of Late Indra Bharti.
3. Satyendra Bharti aged about 50 years son of Late Indra Bharti
4. Ramesh Bharti aged about 33 years, son of Late Indar Bharti

All are residents of village Salaiya, P.O. and police station -Imamganj, District - Gaya (Bihar).

		...	Petitioners
	Versus		
The State of Jharkhand			
		...	Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Fahad Allam, A.P.P.

07/ 15.09.2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pratappur P.S. Case No. 23 of 2025, registered for the offences under Sections 191 (2), 190, 126 (2), 115 (2), 117 (2), 74,329 (4), 326 (1) 303(2), 352, 351 (2), 324(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the court of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Chatra.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that there are general and omnibus allegations against the petitioners and he submits that the occurrence took place in Holi and allegations of molestation is false. He submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be provided to the petitioners.

4. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that there are serious allegations of molestation against all the persons and even on that day, one vehicle was set on fire by these petitioners. On these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. Looking into the contents of the FIR, it transpires that there is specific allegations against these petitioners of forcibly entering into the house and the allegations are there of outraging the modesty and further on the alleged date of occurrence, these petitioners had set one vehicle on fire, I am not inclined to provide the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, above named. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-15.09.2025
Amitesh/-