

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.3624 of 2025

1.Sony Devi @ Soniya Devi, W/o Yogesh Nonia @ Jugesh Noniya
2.Yogesh Nonia @ Jugesh Noniya, S/o Baijnath Nonia
Both residents of Bichla Mohalla, near Durga Mandap, PO Kedla,
PS Mandu, District Ramgarh **... .. Petitioner(s)**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand. **... .. Opposite Party(s)**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Binod Kumar Dubey, Advocate.
For the State : Ms. Mohua Palit, A.P.P.

02/ 10.07.2025

Heard the parties.

2. This anticipatory bail application under Sections 482 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, has been preferred by the petitioners apprehending their arrest for offences registered under Sections 103(1), 80(2), 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and section 3/4 of D.P. Act.

3. As per the informant his sister was married to Deepak Nonia in June 2023. There is an allegation that Deepak Nonia was having some illicit relationship as a result of which relationship between the husband and the wife was not cordial. It is alleged that torture was perpetrated upon the wife as a result of which she died on 31.03.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no. 1 is the married sister-in-law of the deceased and the petitioner no. 2 is husband of petitioner no. 1 (Nanad and Nandosu). It is further submitted that in the FIR there is no allegation save and except in the last line where in a general and casual manner name of all the accused persons have been entered.

5. Learned A.P.P. representing the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. After hearing the parties and after going through the FIR,

I find that the deceased died due to hanging. These petitioners are married sister-in-law and her husband (Nanad and Nandosu). Further their name has been taken in a casual manner in the last line of the FIR stating that all of them were torturing.

7. Considering the general nature of allegation and the fact that they are sister-in-law and her husband (Nanad and Nandosu), this Court finds it a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this Anticipatory Bail Application stands **allowed**. The petitioners, above named, are directed to surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and on the event of their surrender or arrest, they shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M, Ramgarh, in connection with Mandu (W.B) P.S. Case No.83 of 2025, subject to the condition that one of the bailers should be a close relative of the petitioner and other should be a resident of State of Jharkhand, having sufficient landed property in his/her name or in the name of his/her ancestors in which he/she is having share and to that effect, he/she has to file an affidavit before the Trial Court indicating his/her share in the property.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)