

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S). No. 2620 of 2016

(Md. Kabir Ansari & Ors. Vs. State of Jharkhand & Ors.)

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. S.N.PATHAK

For the Petitioners	:Ms. Aanya Singh, Advocate
For the State of Jharkhand	:Mr. Navneet Toppo, AC to SC-V
For the State of Bihar	: Mr. Diwakar Upadhyay, Advocate
For the JAC	: Mrs. Richa Sanchita, Advocate

22/ 04.05.2022 It is the specific case of the petitioners that though they have received salary till 1998 but after bifurcation of State of Bihar, different issues were raised regarding approval of the Madarsa. From Annexure-9 it appears that the Madarsa, in which the petitioners are working is recognized Institution and it is the State of Jharkhand, who has recognized this Institution and allowed them to conduct examination.

Since counter-affidavit has not been filed by the State of Bihar, let the respondent-State of Bihar file a specific affidavit bringing on record documents related to recognition of the Madarsa and also the period upto which the salary was paid to the petitioners.

Put-up this case on 22.06.2022, under the same heading.

(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)