

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
Cr. Revision No. 649 of 2025

Hiralal Sahu @ Heeralal, aged about 48 years son of late Gobardhan Sahu,
resident of Village Dhumsatoli, Chutia, PO and PS Chutia, District Ranchi,
Jharkhand

-- Versus --

1.The State of Jharkhand
2.Sujeet Kumar Soni, son of Ganesh Prasad Soni, resident of Piska More,
PO Hehal, PS Sukhdeonagar, District Ranchi, Jharkhand.... Opp.Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner(s)	:-	Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:-	Mrs. Kumari Rashmi, Advocate
For the O.P.No.2.	:-	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

2/23.09.2025 I.A. No.8356 of 2025 has been filed for condoning the delay of 61 days
occurred in filing the instant criminal revision petition.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in
preparation of filing of the instant revision petition such delay has occurred and
in view of that the said delay may kindly be condoned.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the State and O.P.No.2 have got no
serious objection in condoning the delay.

3. Looking to the contents of the instant I.A the Court finds that sufficient
grounds have been made out for condonation of such delay and as such the
said delay occurred in filing the instant revision petition is hereby condoned,
and accordingly, the instant I.A filed for condonation of delay is allowed and
disposed of.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has been convicted in Complaint Case No.6856 of 2022 under section
138 of Negotiable Instruments Act wherein the sentence has been provided to
undergo SI for a period of six months along with the compensation of Rs.6 lacs
(six lacs) and in default of payment of compensation, further direction is there
to undergo SI for three months. He submits that against the said order, Cr.
Appeal being Cr. Appeal No.291 of 2024 was filed and the learned Additional
Judicial Commissioner-X, Ranchi has been pleased to dismiss the appeal. He
further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 11.6.2025 and for

suspension of the sentence one I.A. being I.A. No.13232 of 2025 has been filed. He then submits that the petitioner has already undergone in custody for more than three months and the sentence is for six months period. He next submits that son of the petitioner has also come and is present in the Court and he is ready to pay a sum of Rs.2 lacs (two lacs) today itself to the O.P.No.2. He next submits that so far as the rest of the amount to the tune of Rs.4 lacs (four lacs) is concerned, he will pay the same within four months.

5. Learned counsel for the O.P.No.2 submits the O.P.No.2 is also present in the Court and on instruction, he submits that he is ready to accept Rs.2 lacs (two lacs) today itself. He further submits that O.P.No.2 has got no objection if the petitioner is paying a sum of Rs.4 lacs further within four months. He then submits that in view of that, provisional bail may be allowed to the petitioner.

6. In view of above facts and considering that the matter is arising out of section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act which is compoundable in light of Section 147 of the said Act and the son of the petitioner is handing over Rs.2 lacs (two lacs) to the O.P.No.2 and the O.P.No.2 is being identified by Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, the learned counsel for the O.P.No.2, and further considering that Rs.4 lacs further the petitioner is ready to pay in four months, the petitioner, above named, is hereby directed to be released **provisionally** on bail for four months, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand), with two sureties of like amount each, to satisfaction of learned Additional Judicial Commissioner-X, Ranchi, in connection with Complaint Case No.6856 of 2022.

7. Let this matter appear on 28.01.2026.

8. I.A. meant for suspension of sentence/grant of bail is allowed and disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated : 23rd Sept., 2025
SI/