

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 3611 of 2025

Sudarshan Thakur, S/o Late Bajrangi Thakur, R/o Village- Sua, P.O. & P.S.- Sadar
Daltonganj, District- Palamu, Jharkhand

.... Petitioner(s).

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... Opp. Party(s).

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rajneesh Vardhan, Addl. P.P.

.....
02/ 08.07.2025: Heard, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. This is an application filed by the petitioner praying for grant of anticipatory bail in terms of under Sections 482 and 484 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Surksha Sanhita, 2023, has been preferred by the petitioner apprehending his arrest for offences under Sections 306/34 of IPC.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner that he tortured the deceased, who committed suicide.
4. The learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
5. There is allegation in the F.I.R. is that the deceased had solemnized love marriage with the son of this petitioner. It is alleged that mother-in-law of the deceased and the husband of the deceased used to torture her, as a result of, this torture she committed suicide.
6. The fact that she has committed suicide is admitted.
7. Considering the nature of allegation, I find that this is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
8. Considering the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to entertain the anticipatory bail application. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No.56 of 2023, pending in the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-V, at Palamu, stands rejected.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)