

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Revision (Filing) No. 14065 of 2025

Shankar Yadav Petitioner (s)
Versus
The State of Jharkhand & Anr. Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner (s) : Mr. Nitesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Ruby Pandey, A.P.P.
For the Opp. Party No.2 : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Order No. 05/ Dated 04.05.2026
I.A. No. 12119 of 2025

Heard Mr. Nitesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs. Ruby Pandey, learned A.P.P as well as Mr. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the opposite party No.2.

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for exemption to surrender in terms of the Rule 159 of the Jharkhand High Court Rules.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter has been compromised between both the parties and he has also referred to the joint compromise petition, which has been filed by way of I.A. No. 2946/2026. It has further been submitted that the terms and conditions of the compromise have been diligently followed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the opposite party No.2 has submitted that though the first installment of Rupees Three Lakhs has been extended to the opposite party No.2 but the petitioner has failed to deposit the second installment of Rupees Three Lakhs, which was supposed to be deposited on 14.04.2026.

Although the joint compromise petition reveals that the matter has been compromised between the parties, but considering the fact that the petitioner is a defaulter in not making the payment of second installment on 14.04.2026, the said joint compromise petition cannot be a ground for exempting the petitioner to surrender before the learned trial court in connection with Complaint Case No. 446/2021.

Accordingly, this interlocutory application stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)