

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. No. 124 of 2023

Satyanand Mohan Raju & Anr.	...	...	Appellants
Versus			
Shivjee Sharma	...	...	Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellants	: Mr. Rahul Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the Respondents	: Mr. Navneet Sahay, Advocate

32/17th November 2025

I.A. No. 13467 of 2025

1. This interlocutory application has been filed seeking substitution of appellant no. 1, namely, Satyanand Mohan Raju, who is said to have expired on 16.08.2025, leaving behind only his wife, namely, Kamala Raju, whose details have been mentioned in paragraph 4 of the interlocutory application. *Vakalatnama* on behalf of the proposed legal heir of appellant no. 1 and the document showing date of death of deceased appellant no. 1 have also been annexed along with the interlocutory application. The petition has been filed within time.

2. No counter-affidavit as such has been filed by the respondents to oppose the prayer.

3. Considering the averments made in the interlocutory application, ***I.A. No. 13467 of 2025*** is hereby allowed.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant is directed to delete the name of deceased appellant no. 1 from the cause title and insert the name of his legal heir and successor in red ink during the course of the day.

I.A. No. 11008 of 2025

5. This interlocutory application has been filed seeking early hearing of this case on account of the appellants being senior citizens.

6. Upon perusal of the interlocutory application, ***I.A. No. 11008 of 2025*** the same is hereby allowed.

7. ***I.A. No. 1111 of 2025*** has been filed seeking to produce additional evidence.

8. The learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that through this interlocutory application, the records of the writ petition being W.P. (C) No. 5401 of 2017 is sought to be brought on record along with certain other documents. However, the learned counsel for the appellants has no explanation as to why these documents were not filed at the stage of trial. The petition also does not contain any such explanation and counsel for the appellants has not been able to point out any such statement in the interlocutory application.

9. Post this case on 19th November 2025 under the heading for ‘Final Disposal’ to be taken up at 10:30 a.m.

10. In the meantime, it will be open to the respondents to file response to I.A. No. 1111 of 2025, if so advised.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Mukul/-