

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Second Appeal No. 47 of 2011

Devendra Nath Pramanick (Thakur)

... ... Appellant

Versus

Ramesh Chandra Pramanick & Ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellant

: Mr. Anuj Burman, Advocate

For the Resp. Nos.1,2,4 &5

: Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Resp. Nos.6 & 7

: Mr. Aman Shekhar, Advocate

17/Dated: 30th April, 2025

1. From the report of process server dated 08.02.2022, it appears that notice issued to respondent No.3, has been received by him personally. Therefore, the notice is validly served but no Vakalatnama has yet been filed on his behalf.
2. It further appears that the notice was also issued to the respondent No.8 but the same has returned unserved with remark that no person is residing in that address. Thereafter, on 15.05.2024, the appellant was directed for substituted service of notice by publishing in the local newspapers having wide circulation in the locality of the respondent No.8. In spite of paper publication, no one appeared on behalf of the respondent No.8.
3. Considering the above facts, let the matter be listed on 02.07.2025 under the heading "For Hearing".

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

Pappul/-