

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
(Civil Writ Jurisdiction)
W.P. (C) No. 3090 of 2018

.....

Bal Kishore Singh			Petitioner
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand & Others			Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAILASH PRASAD DEO

For the Petitioner	: None.
For the Respondents	: None.

.....

05/25.07.2022.

Nobody appears on behalf of the parties.

From perusal of office note dated 22.07.2022, it appears that the some of the defects as pointed out vide S.R. dated 29.06.2018 have not been removed.

The surviving defects are as follows:-

Defect No. 2 – Para Nos. in Para-4 of Affidavit has not been mentioned. May be given by S/A.

Defect No. 4 – Signature of Ld. Counsel not done in Stamp page.

Defect No. 7 – Pagination done in index may be verified.

Defect No. 8 – Cause title does not appear in Page No. 59, 60, 62.

Defect No. 10 – Date in index (Annex.-3), Para and subpara-12, Synopsis may be verified.

Defect No. 11 – Partly removed as page No. 73, 109, 110, 181 wanting.

Defect No. 14 – Annex. No. from Annex-4 onwards may be mentioned on appropriate page.

Defect No. 15 – Mutation Case no. as in index (Annex-7) para and subpara 18 may be verified with page No. 158.

Defect No. 16 – Annex-8 appears incomplete with respect to description and complete annexure may be filed by way of S/A and also description of Annex-8 may be verified with respect to Annex.

Defect No. 17 – Year as in index (Annex-10), does not appear in annex.

Defect No. 18 – Case Year in Cause Title of Synopsis may be verified.

Defect No. 19 – Pagination certificate given by Ld., Oath Commissioner at Cause Title Page may be verified.

Defect No. 22 – Date in Spara-11, may be verified.

Defect No. 23 – Date as in Para and Spara-14, index (A-5), Synopsis does not appear in the Annexure.

Considering the same, two weeks' time is granted to learned counsel for the petitioner to remove the aforesaid defects.

Put up this case on 17.08.2022 under the appropriate heading.

(Kailash Prasad Deo, J.)

Sunil/-