IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 3109 of 2018

Yogeshwar Mahto … … Petitioner

Versus

The Union Bank of India, Jainamor, Bokaro through its Branch Manager & Ors. ... … Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rupesh Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent No. 1 : Mr. Rohan Kashyap, AC to
Mr. P.A.S. Pati, Advocate
For Respondent Nos.2,3,5 & 8 : Mrs. Bandana Kumari Sinha, Advocate

-----

06/24.03.2022 It has been reported by the office that the respondent nos. 2, 3, 5 and 8 have appeared through Vakalatnama.

Mrs. Bandana Kumari Sinha, Advocate, appears on behalf of the said respondents.

It has further been reported by the office that the respondent nos. 4, 7, 9, 10 and 12 have refused to accept notice issued to them through registered post with A/D.

Hence, notices issued to the said respondents are deemed to have been validly served.

So far as the respondent nos. 6 and 11 are concerned, the unserved registered covers have returned with remarks "Addressees do not reside here – returned".

Under the said circumstance, let fresh notices be issued to the respondent nos. 6 and 11 through ordinary process on their present and correct address to be furnished by the petitioner for which requisites etc. must be filed within two weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is also allowed to serve "Dasti Notice" to the said respondents for which requisites etc. must be filed within the aforesaid period.

An affidavit to that effect must be filed on behalf of the petitioner before the next date.

Put up this case under appropriate heading after six weeks.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

Manish