

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. Filing No. 6382 of 2025

Ms. Pallavi Chakraborty @ Pallavi Routh ... Appellant
Versus

Apurba Routh ... Respondent

...

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI**

For the Appellant : Ms. Pragati Prasad, Advocate

For the Respondent :

...

Order No.05/dated 09.12.2025

The case is running under the heading “**for orders with defects**” as per the defect pointed out by the stamp reporter to file affidavit.

2. It has been contended by the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner, who has been engaged through the Legal Aid, that even after the amendment in the relevant Rule waiving out the necessity to file an affidavit, the Office has pointed out the aforesaid defect. The relevant Rule is being referred herein: -

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Section 29 of the Bihar Reorganisation Act, 2000 (Act XXX of 2000), and all other powers enabling in this behalf, the High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi hereby amend Rule 48(3) (i) in the manner indicate below: -

“48 (3) (i) The facts stated in every petition shall be verified either by solemn affirmation or on oath of the petitioner, or by a separate affidavit annexed to the petition, the solemn affirmation or oath being made in every case before a Commissioner for Affidavits or other officer appointed for the Purpose, except when such petition or appeal is filed by Legal Aid through JHALSA or HCLSC.”

Aforesaid amendments shall take effect from the date of its notification.

3. It has further been contended that the said amendment, as has been inserted in the aforesaid Rule, is also being reflected in the Daily Cause List on day-to-day basis.

4. The Stamp report is dated 01.07.2025 but the amendment has been incorporated by the notification dated 28th July, 2025 as quoted and referred hereinabove.

5. Office is to look into and proceed.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)

Dated 9th December, 2025
P.K.S./Suman-