

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Filing No. C.R. No. 6320 of 2025

Prem Kumar Singh & Another		Petitioners
Versus		
Surendra Prasad Singh & Others		Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Rahul Kumar Gupta, Advocate.
For the Opp. Parties	:	

Order No. 05/Dated: 7th November, 2025

I.A. No. 12741/2025

1. The instant interlocutory application has been filed on behalf of petitioners seeking leave to implead the name of the petitioner no. 2 namely, Dhurva Kumar in the cause title of Civil Revision.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the office has pointed out defects and one of the defects pointed out by the office is that petitioner no. 2 Dhurva Kumar of this memo is not party in the impugned order passed in Execution Case No. 02/2008.
3. It is pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioners that the execution proceeding was initiated by the decree holder and the petitioners herein are the judgment debtors and the present petitioner no. 2 was substituted at the appellate stage as a party in the case, therefore, his name is not appearing in the impugned order passed in the aforesaid execution case. Petitioners are aggrieved party and also party in the second appeal, which is still pending for adjudication. Therefore, defect pointed out by the office i.e. Defect no. 25 (c) is fit to be ignored.

4. Considering the same, Defect no. 25 (c) is ignored

5. Accordingly, I.A. No. 12741/2025 is allowed.

Filing No. C.R. No. 6320 of 2025

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that other defects have been removed by the petitioners.

7. In view of the above statement, office is directed to do fresh stamp reporting in the matter, pointing out existing defect, if any.

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

November 7, 2025
Sunil/