

[2026:JHHC:19027]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5910 of 2026

Kishun Saw @ Kisun Sao, age about 64 years, son of Late Barju
Saw, resident of village- Beltu, P.O.- Kandaber, P.S.- Keredari,
Dist- Hazaribag, Jharkhand Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Santosh Kr. Soni, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Gautam Rakesh, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated-30-06-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Keredari
P.S. Case No.98 of 2025 registered under Section 103 (1) and 3 (5) of the
B.N.S., 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against
the petitioner is that the petitioner, in furtherance of common intention with
the co-accused persons, has committed the murder of Lalo Sao by assaulting
him with stone on his head. It is submitted that the allegation against the
petitioner is false. It is further submitted that the genesis of the occurrence is
a land dispute and the independent witness namely Suresh Kumar who saw
the occurrence from a distance of 500 metres has in para-17 of his deposition,
stated that the petitioner was sitting on the pillion of the motorcycle of his
son. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since
24.04.2026. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State vehemently opposes the
prayer for bail and submits that considering the direct allegation of serious
nature against the petitioner of committing the murder of Lalo Saw, there is
every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence
if released on bail. It is further submitted that a person who claims to have
seen the occurrence from a distance of 500 metres, cannot be a trustworthy

witness and his statement is redundant. It is, therefore, submitted that the petitioner ought not be released on bail at this stage.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner as well as his chance of absconding and tampering with the evidence, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the above-named petitioner be released on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected at this stage.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated-30.06.2026-Animesh/