

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**Cont. Case (Civil) No. 541 of 2024**

Dr. Anand Murari Tiwary aged about 71 years son of Late Ramdas Tiwary,  
resident of Morabadi, P.O. and P.S. Bariatu, District Ranchi.

... **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Sri Binod Narayan, Registrar, Ranchi University, Ranchi, P.O. G.P.O.  
P.S. Kotwali, District Ranchi. ... **Opposite Parties**

---

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY**

|                                  |                                        |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| <b>For the Petitioner</b>        | : Mr. Dilip Kr. Chakraverty, Advocate. |
| <b>For the State</b>             | : Ms. Komal Tiwary, A.C. to A.G.       |
| <b>For the Ranchi University</b> | : Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate.          |

---

**Order No. 16**

**Dated 19<sup>th</sup> January, 2026**

1. Heard Mr. Dilip Kr. Chakraverty, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Manish Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Ranchi University.
2. This contempt application has been preferred by the petitioner for initiating a contempt proceeding against the opposite party no. 2 for willfully and deliberately violating the order of this Court dated 11.07.2023 passed in W.P.(C). No. 1614 of 2022.
3. The relevant part of the order dated 11.07.2023 passed in W.P.(C) No. 1614 of 2022 reads as follows.

*“In view of the fact that the main grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed, this writ application stands disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to submit a fresh representation before the respondent No. 6 for extending the financial benefits arising out of the promotion granted to him. If such representation is preferred within a period of three weeks along with a copy of this order, the respondent No. 6 shall take necessary steps for redressal of the grievance of the petitioner expeditiously.”*

4. It has been submitted by Mr. Dilip Kr. Chakraverty, learned counsel for the petitioner that pursuant to the promotion of the petitioner, all the financial benefits have been extended to him, except the annual increment and he be given liberty to raise his grievance before the concerned authority.
5. On consideration of the fact that the order dated 11.07.2023 passed in W.P.(C). No. 1614 of 2022 has been sufficiently complied with, I

am not inclined to proceed further in this contempt application which accordingly stands disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned authority for redressal of his grievance with respect to non-payment of the annual increment.

**(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY, J.)**

Dated 19<sup>th</sup> January, 2026  
Rashmi/-  
Uploaded