

(2025:JHHC:29358)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No. 1384 of 2025

-
1. Rimjhim Devi, aged about 32 years, wife of Yogesh Singh, resident of Village Chandchora, P.O. Ranidih, P.S. Karon, District -Deoghar, Jharkhand. At present residing at Village & P.O. Telia Lowgain, P.S. Fulidumar, District -Banka, Bihar.
 2. Prakash Singh, aged about 62 years, son of Tapsi Singh
 3. Soniya Devi @ Soni Devi, aged about 45 years, wife of Anuj Kumar Singh.
 4. Navin Singh, aged about 59 years, son of Bachan Singh @ Bachchi Singh.
 5. Sudhir Singh, aged about 80 years, son of Late Sahdeo Singh
 6. Dr. Ajay Singh @ Ajay Kumar Singh, aged about 48 years, son of Shyam Bahadur Singh.
 7. Vimal Singh, aged about 40 years, son of Tapsi Singh.
- Sl. Nos. 2 & 7, both are residents of Village & P.O. Telia, Lowgain, P.S. -Fulidumar, District -Banka, Bihar.
Sl. Nos. 3, 4 & 5 are residents of Village -Kurmagarh, P.O. Rajpur, P.S. Belhar, District -Banka, Bihar.
Sl. No.6 is resident of village Gurunathpahar, P.O. and P.S. Saraiyahat, District -Dumka, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
 2. Yogesh Singh, son of Nakul Prasad Singh, resident of village Chandchora, P.O. Ranidih, P.S. Karon, District -Deoghar, Jharkhand.
- Opp. Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Arvind Kr. Choudhary, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. V.K. Vashistha, Spl. P.P.
For the O.P. No.2	: Mr. Lakhan Chandra Roy, Advocate

Order No.03 Dated- 19.09.2025

Heard the parties.

This criminal miscellaneous petition has been filed at the instance of the petitioners for restoration of Cr.M.P. No. 845 of 2023 to its original file.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Cr.M.P. No. 845 of 2023 was dismissed for non-compliance of the peremptory order of this Court dated 12.09.2024. It is next submitted that due to

inadvertence and unavoidable circumstances, the requisites for service of notice upon the opposite party no.2 could not be filed within the stipulated time, resulting in dismissal of the said Cr.M.P. No. 845 of 2023. It is next submitted that the petitioner has very good grounds to agitate in the said Cr.M.P. No. 845 of 2023 and unless Cr.M.P. No. 845 of 2023 is restored to its original file, the petitioners will be highly prejudiced. Hence, it is submitted that the Cr.M.P. No. 845 of 2023 be restored to its original file.

Considering the facts of the case and the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners, Cr.M.P. No. 845 of 2023 is restored to its original file to the stage at which, it was before its dismissal.

List Cr.M.P. No. 845 of 2023 before the appropriate Bench under the appropriate heading after a week.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

19.09.2025
Sonu/Gunjan-