

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Revision No. 398 of 2026

Prem Kamal Tiwari **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand

2. Dharmendra **Opposite Parties**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Adv.

For the State : A.P.P.

For the O.P. No. 2. : Mr. Naresh Pd. Thakur, Adv.

I.A. No. 9463 of 2025

06/06.05.2026 Heard Mr. Anurag Kashyap, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State as well as Mr. Naresh Pd. Thakur, learned counsel appearing for the opposite party no. 2.

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for condoning a delay of 242 days in filing the revision application.

Having been satisfied with the reasons assigned in the instant application, the same is allowed and the delay of 242 days in filing the revision application is hereby condoned.

I.A. No. 9463 of 2025 stands disposed of.

I.A. No. 11682 of 2025

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for exemption to surrender in terms of Rule 159 of the Jharkhand High Court, Rules.

Since the petitioner is in custody this application has become infructuous and as such the same is dismissed as being infructuous.

I.A. No. 3602 of 2026

This interlocutory application has been preferred by the petitioner for grant of bail to him during the pendency of this revision application.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter has been settled between the petitioner and the opposite party no. 2, consequent to which a demand draft of Rs. 3,02,500/- drawn in the name of the opposite party no.2 has been handed over by Mr. Anurag Kashyap, learned counsel for the petitioner to Mr. Naresh Pd. Thakur, learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2.

Mr. Naresh Pd. Thakur, learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 has submitted that the amount which has been extended to him satisfies the settlement which has been arrived at between the parties and, therefore, he does not have any objection if the petitioner is granted bail.

Consequent to what has been submitted by the learned counsel for the respective parties and since the matter has been settled between the parties, I am inclined to allow this Interlocutory Application.

It appears that the petitioner is in custody since 27.02.2026.

Regard being had to the fact that the matter has been settled between the parties, during the pendency of this revision application, the petitioner named above is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) only with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Palamau at Daltonganj in Complaint Case No. 1062 of 2020.

I.A. No. 3602 of 2026 stands disposed of.

I.A. No. 4709 of 2026

This interlocutory application has been preferred by the petitioner for disposing of Cr. Revision No. 398 of 2026 on account of the fact that the compromise has been effected between the parties.

Let I.A. No. 4709 of 2026 be heard along with Cr.
Revision No. 398 of 2026 on 11th June, 2026.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

Dated, the 6th May, 2026
A. Sanga/-
Uploaded on 07/05/2026