

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5672 of 2025

Firoj Ansari, aged about 30 years, son of Seraj Ansari, resident of:
Alkamar Colony, Hazi Chowk, Simaliya, P.O.: and P.S.: Ratu,
District: Ranchi, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajesh Ranjan Srivastav, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Satish Kr. Keshri, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated-10-07-2025

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Ratu P.S. Case No.64 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case No.1141 of 2025 (S.T. Case No.297 of 2025) registered for the offence punishable under Section 108 of B.N.S., 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner abetted the commission of suicide of the deceased Khusboo Parween, by secretly capturing the obscene video of the victim and blackmailing her and thereafter forcibly having forcible sexual intercourse with her, on the threat of making the obscene video of the victim viral, for which the victim committed suicide. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 16.02.2025 as is evident from para-14 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl.P.P. appearing for the State on the other hand opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner of secretly capturing obscene video of the victim and the blackmailing her to forcibly make sexual relationship with her, which led the victim to commit suicide, there is every

chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be released on bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and chance of his absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the bail of the above named petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above named petitioner is rejected at this stage.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Saroj/