

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cr. Appeal (D.B.) No. 810 of 2026

Nikesh Kumar Gupta @ Nikesh Sao @ Nikesh @ Tika Sao
.... Appellant

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rongon Mukhopadhyay

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Arun Kumar Rai

For the Appellant: Mr. Vishnupad Singh, Advocate

For the Resp.-State: P.P.

I.A.No. 9757 of 2026

03/ 16.09.2026 Heard Mr. Vishnupad Singh, learned Counsel for the
appellant and learned P.P.

2. This application has been preferred by the appellant for
grant of bail to him during the pendency of this appeal.

3. The appellant has been convicted for the offences
under Section 394 and 376 IPC as well as Section 6 of the
POCSO Act and has been sentenced to undergo imprisonment
for life along with a fine of Rs.50,000/- for the offence under
Section 6 of POCSO Act.

4. It has been alleged that the daughter of the informant
was subjected to gang rape. Though it has been submitted by
the learned Counsel for the appellant that the DNA profile
matched with the other two co-accused persons and that the
appellant is in custody since 02.09.2023 but regard being had

to the evidence of the victim from which it appears that appellant was present at the place of occurrence and was a facilitator to the offence of commission of rape, we are not inclined to admit the appellant on bail. His prayer for bail is hereby rejected.

5. I.A. No. 9757 of 2026 stands rejected.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J)

16th of September, 2026
P.K.S.
Uploaded