

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 3900 of 2026

1. Md. Pinku @ Md. Khurshid Ansari @ Md. Khurshid, aged 43 years, son of Late Taiyab Miyan @ Taiyab Ansari
 2. Gujar @ Md. Taj Ansari @ Taj Ansari, aged about 31 years, son of Asgar Ali
- Both are resident of Village- Telodih, Kasmatand, P.O. Hundadih, P.S. Pachamba, Dist.- Giridih **... Petitioners**

-Versus-

The State of Jharkhand

... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners : Md. Razaullah Ansari, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, A.P.P.

05/13.08.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Pachamba P.S. Case No.134/2025 dated 15.12.2025, registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(2), 109(1), 64(1), 62, 351(1), 304(2), 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Giridih.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that there was land dispute between the parties and there are case and counter case between them. He further submits that in the case lodged by the petitioners' side, the informant has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in A.B.A. No.2358 of 2026. He next submits that in the case diary, not even a single witness has stated about the allegation of Section 64 BNS against the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that the allegations of assault are there.

5. On query made by the Court, learned counsel appearing for the State fairly submits that no witness has stated about the allegation of Section 64 of BNS against the petitioners.

6. Considering that there are case and counter case between the parties and that has happened due to land dispute and in the case filed by the petitioners' side, the informant of the present case has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in the aforesaid A.B.A. and further, the witness in the case diary has not stated anything about the allegation of Section 64 of BNS against the petitioners and in the attending facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the above-named petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned Court within three weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender, they shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Giridih in connection with Pachamba P.S. Case No.134/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated: 13th August, 2026
Ajay/