

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A No. 9 of 2014

(Central Coalfields Limited Vs. Kaila Manjhi & Ors.)

With

F.A No. 10 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Kaila Manjhi & Anr.)

With

F.A No. 11 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Mahesh Manjhi & Ors.)

With

F.A No. 13 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Karma Manjhi & Ors.)

With

F.A No. 14 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Sonu Manjhi & Ors.)

With

F.A No. 15 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Hopan Manjhi & Ors.)

With

F.A No. 17 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Sukhram Manjhi & Ors.)

With

F.A No. 18 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Ratni Devi & Anr.)

With

F.A No. 19 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Ajay Murmu & Anr.)

With

F.A No. 22 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Lalji Manjhi)

With

F.A No. 23 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Barhan Manjhi)

With

F.A No.24 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Surjan Manjhi)

With

F.A No. 25 of 2014

(Union of India Vs. Ramlal Manjhi & Ors.)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GAUTAM KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Mr. Anjani Kumar, Advocate (in all the cases)

For the Private Resps. : Mr. Nanhu Ram, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Nawal Kishore Pandey, AC to SC (L& C)-I
Mr. Sharbil Ahmad, AC to SC (Mines)-I

Oral Order

18 / Dated : 28.10.2021

From perusal of the record of the cases it transpires that the notices were issued on the substituted heirs in F.A. Nos. 9, 14, 15, 19 and 25.

In F.A. No. 09 of 2014 the notice is declared to be served validly on the substituted heirs.

F.A. Nos. 14/2014, 15/2014, 19/2014 and 25/2014

On the basis of the office note, the notice issued on the substituted heirs are declared to be served validly.

F.A. No. 18 of 2014

From the service report of notice issued, it appears that respondent no. 1 has died.

At the request of learned counsel for the appellant, he is directed to take necessary steps in this regard for filing appropriate petition within four weeks.

F.A. No. 19 of 2014

From the office note it transpires that respondent no. 2 is not residing at the given address.

At the request of learned counsel for the appellant, he is directed to take necessary steps for service of notice upon the said respondent at the present and correct address under ordinary process on filing of requisites within four weeks.

I.A. No. 11497 of 2019 in F.A. No. 25 of 2014

It is averred on behalf of the appellant that respondent nos. 4 and 6 is alive. Therefore, no substitution petition is required.

In view of the fact that respondent no. 2 and 8 could not be traced out, therefore, the appellants are directed to take steps for issuance of notice against legal heirs and dependants of respondents nos. 2 and 8 through paper publication within two weeks.

The office is directed to submit the draft of notice to the appellant for the purpose of paper publication.

(Gautam Kumar Choudhary, J.)