

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 2970 of 2026

Arif Shekh, aged about 22 years, son of Fijauddin Shekh,
resident of Bara, Deoghar, PO and PS – Deoghar Town, District
– Deoghar **.... Petitioner**

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand **.... Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Shailendra Kr. Tiwari, Advocate

02/10.06.2026 Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well
as the learned counsel appearing for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Madhupur P.S. Case No.112 of 2025 for the alleged offences
registered under Sections 140(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023 pending in the Court of learned Additional Chief
Judicial Magistrate, Madhupur.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
falsely the petitioner has been implicated in this case and the
petitioner was not involved in kidnapping and demanding the
extortion. He further submits that only allegation is there that the
petitioner was accomplice of Karim Ansari. On these grounds, he
submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be granted.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer
and submits that the petitioner is said to be accomplice of Karim

Ansari and the allegations are there of kidnapping and demand of extortion and in view of that anticipatory bail may kindly be rejected.

5. Looking into the contents of FIR it transpires that there are allegation of kidnapping and demand of extortion of Rs.4 to 5 lacs against Karim Ansari and the petitioner is said to be accomplice of said Karim Ansari.

6. In the attending facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

*Dated 10.06.2026
Sangam/*