

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**First Appeal No.133 of 2024**

Amrendra Kumar, aged about 45 years, son of late Ram Lakhan Prasad, resident of Katharkocha, Birsa Chowk, Hinoo, PO & PS-Doranda, District-Ranchi (Jharkhand) **... Respondent/ Appellant**

Versus

Nandani Kumari, aged about 32 years, wife of Amrendra Kumar, daughter of Bhubneshwar Sahu, resident of BH 294/A, Katharkocha, Birsa Chowk, PO & PS-Doranda, District-Ranchi, presently R/o Shiv Dayal Nagar, Argora Bye Pass Road, Harmu, Ranchi, PO-Doranda, PS-Argora, District-Ranchi (Jharkhand) ... **Petitioner/Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR**

For the Appellant : Mrs. Nisha Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent :

Order No.10/Dated: 9th July, 2025

1. Mrs. Nisha Pandey, the learned counsel appearing for the appellant has sought for leave of this Court to accept the supplementary affidavit dated 09.07.2025 which has been filed in pursuance to the order dated 10.06.2025.
2. Leave, as prayed for, is granted.
3. Let the said affidavit be taken on record.
4. It is evident from the said supplementary affidavit that the parties have settled their disputes by virtue of the settlement arrived at between them in 'Mediation'. The Mediation Report has been annexed as Annexure-1 to the said affidavit.
5. The learned counsel appearing for the appellant has also produced photo copy of the certified copy of the order dated 16.06.2025 passed in Original Suit No.310 of 2024 which has been filed by the appellant-husband under section 39(b) of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890 before the Court of the learned Addl. Principal Judge, Addl. Family Court-I, Ranchi.
6. Let it be taken on record.
7. The learned counsel has further submitted by placing the said order that the parties since are settled their disputes and they have no grievance against each other in terms of the settlement arrived at between them in

course of the 'Mediation' and the proceeding being Original Suit No.310 of 2024 has been disposed of in terms of the said settlement.

8. In view of the above, the learned counsel appearing for the appellant has submitted that she does not want to press the instant appeal.

9. Accordingly, the instant appeal is dismissed as not pressed and disposed of as such.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Sudhir