

(2025:JHHC:18653)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 5713 of 2025

Ranvir Bhuiyan @ Ranbir Bhuiyan @ Ranbir Bhuiya, aged
about 25 years, s/o Govind Bhuiyan, r/o Village-Solaiya, P.O.
& P.S.-Manika, Dist.-Palamu, Jharkhand

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Akhouri Sankalp, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sinha, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 10.07.2025

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Satbarwa P.S. Case No.119 of 2024 (S.T. No. 74 of 2025) registered for the offences punishable under sections 190/191/126/127/115/117/118/109(1)/103(1) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is the second journey of the regular bail application of the petitioner and earlier the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was member of unlawful assembly and in prosecution of the common object of the assembly committed the murder of Arjun Mehta and severed the hand of the mother of the informant. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and some of the co-accused persons have been admitted to bail. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 21.10.2024, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 08 of the bail application. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that as there is direct allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the Arjun Mehta and committing his death and also cutting the hand of the mother of

the informant and severing her hand, there is every chance of the petitioner tampering with evidence and absconding, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner as well as the chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the abovenamed petitioner be admitted to bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the abovenamed petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Sonu-Gunjan/