

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.3203 of 2025

 Bikram Kumar Singh @ Vikram Kumar Singh, S/o Late Yugesh Singh.
... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand.
... ... Opposite Party

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

 For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Krishna Prajapati, Advocate
 For the State : Mr. Vineet Kumar Vashistha, Spl. P.P.

03/ 10.07.2025

Heard the parties.

2. This anticipatory bail application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, has been preferred by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Dumri P.S. Case No.08 of 2025, for offences under Sections 406, 409 and 420 IPC. The case is presently pending before the Court of learned J.M. 1st Class, Gumla.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a Computer Operator at Palkot, and the entire occurrence had taken place in Dumri Block, thus, he cannot be made an accused in this case.

4. Learned Spl. P.P. representing the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioner is involved in the conspiracy and has conspired along with others and has divulged the Bank Account and was using the digital dongle through which the embezzlement had taken place.

5. After hearing the parties, I find that as per the F.I.R., there is an allegation of embezzlement of Government money. There are several persons involved in the occurrence. The

petitioner is one of the person, who is the Computer Operator at Palkot and during investigation, his complicity has come as one of the conspirators. Thus, this offence needs to be investigated properly even to the extent of interrogating the petitioner also. Thus, this is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

6. Accordingly, this Anticipatory Bail Application stands **dismissed.**

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

Prashant. Cp-3