

[2026:JHHC:17920]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5494 of 2026

1. Babita Devi @ Payal Singh @ Babita, aged about 32 years, Wife
of Jitendra Singh, resident of Bandha, P.O. & P.S. Deoghar Town,
District Deoghar

2. Payal Kumari Rout @ Payal Raut, Aged about 25 years, wife of
Biru Raut, Resident of Debanbaba Gali, Karnibagh, P.O. & P.S.
Deoghar Town, District Deoghar

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Vijoy Kr. Sinha, Addl.P.P

For the Informant : Mr. Pran Pranay, Advocate

Order No.02 Dated-19-06-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have been made accused in connection with Rikhiya P.S.
Case No.38 of 2026 registered under Section 103 (1), 238 and 3 (5) of the B.N.S,
2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against
the petitioner is that the petitioners, in furtherance of common intention with
the co-accused persons, have committed the murder of Dilip Turi and caused
disappearance of the evidence of murder by throwing the dead body of the
deceased in a field. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioners is
false. It is next submitted that except suspicion and the petitioners being last
seen, there is no other material in the record to implicate the petitioners in this
case. It is also submitted that the petitioners are females. It is lastly submitted
that the petitioners have been in custody since 18.03.2026. Hence it is submitted
that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State and the learned counsel for
the informant on the other hand vehemently oppose the prayer for bail and

submit that there is C.C.T.V. footage to the effect that the petitioners were going along with the deceased and the co-accused persons towards the field and the location of the mobile phone of the deceased and the petitioners was exactly at the place of occurrence, hence, keeping in the view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioners, there is every chance of the petitioners absconding and tampering with the evidence if released on bail. It is, therefore, submitted that the petitioners ought not be released on bail at this stage.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioners as well as their chance of absconding and tampering with the evidence, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the above-named petitioners be released on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioners is rejected at this stage.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)