

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5515 of 2025

-
1. Sudhir Kumar, aged about 19 years, son of Baneshwar Yadav, resident of village- Dubi, P.O.- RoI, P.S.- Chandwa, District- Latehar;
 2. Jugeshwar Yadav @ Jugeshwar Kumar Yadav, aged about 21 years, son of Late Umesh Yadav, resident of village- Pindarkom, P.O.- Maranguiya, P.S.- Balumath, District- Latehar.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Randhir Kumar, Advocate
	Ms. Pinki Kumari, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Someshwar Roy, Addl.P.P
For the Informant	: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Order No.03 Dated-08-07-2025

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have been made accused in connection with Balumath P.S. Case No.27 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons committed murder of Chandradeo Yadav. It is next submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are all false. It is then submitted that the petitioners have been implicated in this case only on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused person. It is then submitted that there is no eye-witness to the occurrence. It is next submitted that charge-sheet has already been submitted in this case and cognizance of the offence has already been taken. It is submitted that the main allegation is against the co-accused Arvind Yadav; with whose wife, the deceased was having illicit relationship; as stated by the independent witness. It is further submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 29.03.2025 as is evident from para-13 of the instant bail application. It is lastly submitted that

the petitioners undertake to co-operate with the trial of the case and also undertake that they will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State and the learned counsel for the informant oppose the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Latehar in connection with Balumath P.S. Case No.27 of 2025 **with the condition that they will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish their mobile numbers and photocopy of their Aadhar Cards in the court below with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile numbers during the trial of the case and they will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)