

[2025:JHHC:18295]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5505 of 2025

Bobby @ Rahul Pramanik, aged 27 years, son of Kali Pada Pramanik, resident of Back Side of Payal Cinema, Mango Jamshedpur, P.O. and P.S. Mango, District- East Singhbhum at present Purulia, P.O., P.S. and District- Purulia, West Bengal

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra Nath Upadhyay, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Tarun Kumar, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 08-07-2025

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Mango P.S. Case No.75 of 2016 corresponding to G.R. Case No.618 of 2016 (Sessions Trial Case No.155 of 2018) (MCA No.966 of 2025) registered for the offences punishable under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner committed rape upon the prosecutrix on the pretext of marriage. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. Drawing attention of this Court towards para-17 of the instant bail application, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is next submitted that during the trial, the prosecutrix has been examined as P.W.1 wherein in para-11 of her cross-examination she has categorically stated that with mutual consent, physical relationship between her and the petitioner took place but the petitioner was ready to marry her. It is next submitted that in para-16 of her cross-examination, she has admitted that she was married to one Kartik Mahli. It is then submitted that the petitioner undertakes that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and that he will not annoy or disturb the informant,

victim or their family members and other witnesses of the case during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 07.03.2025 as is evident from para-10 of the instant bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-V-cum-FTC (CAW), Jamshedpur in connection with Mango P.S. Case No.75 of 2016 corresponding to G.R. Case No.618 of 2016 (Sessions Trial Case No.155 of 2018) (MCA No.966 of 2025) **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case, furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the informant, victim or their family members and other witnesses of the case during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)