

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5521 of 2025

Nelson Bodra, aged about 20 years, Son of Salmon Bodr @ Bodra,
Resident of Village- Chatradih, P.O. & P.S.- Murhu, District-
Khunti, Jharkhand.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. S.K. Vishwakarma, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated-08-07-2025

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with POCSO Case No.03 of 2025 arising out of Murhu P.S. Case No.56 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Section 70(2), 74, 127(1), 351(2) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 4(2) of POCSO Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner committed gang rape upon the minor victim girl. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is then submitted that the petitioner was put under T.I. Parade and the victim had identified the petitioner. It is then submitted that charge has been framed against the petitioner in this case. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 07.03.2025 as is evident from para-1 of the instant bail application. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl.P.P. appearing for the State on the other hand opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner of along with his co-accused persons, committing gang rape upon the minor victim girl. It is further submitted that there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not to be released on bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and chance of his absconding and tampering with the evidence, if released on bail, this Court is not inclined to admit the bail of the above named petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above named petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Saroj/