

(2026:JHHC:16650)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 5039 of 2026

Kiran Kumari, aged about 36 years, wife of late Ram Singh,
resident of Road No. 03, Sarovar Nagar, P.O.-Hehal, P.S.-
Sukhdeonagar, Dist.-Ranchi (Jharkhand)

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. A.K. Kashyap, Sr. Advocate

: Mr. Anurag Kashyap, Advocate

: Ms. Lina Shakti, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Manoj Kr. Mishra, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 10.06.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. No. 591 of 2024 arising out of Sukhdeonagar P.S. Case No.287 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under sections 306 of the Indian Penal Code.

This is the second journey of the petitioner with the prayer for regular bail and earlier the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner was rejected on merit vide order dated 13.12.2024 in B.A. No. 7786 of 2024. It is submitted by the learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner that the fresh ground is that in the meanwhile the petitioner has been in custody for some more time. It is then submitted allegations against the petitioner are all false. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. on the other hand vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner; merely because the petitioner has been in custody for some more days is not a sufficient ground to enlarge the petitioner on bail, more so because there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering

with evidence, if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not be admitted to bail.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and the chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with evidence, if released on bail, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the abovenamed petitioner be admitted to bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the abovenamed petitioner is rejected for the same reasons as mentioned in the order dated 13.12.2024 in B.A. No. 7786 of 2024.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

10.06.2026
Gunjan-