

(2026:JHHC:17365)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5263 of 2026

-
1. Arjun Tiriya, aged about 26 years, Son of Motay Tiriya,
 2. Gurucharan Tiriya, aged about 40 years, son of late Pachay Tiriya,
- Both are resident of Kendua, P.O. and P.S.-Jagannathpur,
District-West Singhbhum.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajendra Pd. Gupta, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Achinto Sen, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 16-06-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioners have moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with Jagannathpur P.S. Case No.48 of 2025 corresponding to S.T. Case No.105 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 238 and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons committed murder of Jamadar Tiriya and caused disappearance of the evidence of the murder by throwing the dead body. It is next submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are all false. It is then submitted that the petitioners are not named in the FIR and they have been implicated in this case only on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused persons. It is also submitted that except the confessional statement, there is no material in the record to implicate the petitioners in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioners undertake to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertake that they will not annoy or disturb the informant/victim or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is next submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para-03 of the Supplementary

Affidavit dated 15.06.2026. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners have been in custody since 14.11.2025 as is evident from para-01 of this bail application. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioners be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioners on bail. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, West Singhbhum at Chaibasa in connection with Jagannathpur P.S. Case No.48 of 2025 corresponding to S.T. Case No.105 of 2026 **with the condition that the petitioners will co-operate with the trial of the case and will furnish their mobile numbers and photocopy of the Aadhar Cards with an undertaking that they will not change their mobile numbers during the trial of the case, with further condition that they will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

16/06/2026

Abhiraj/