

Jasim Ansari, S/o Sultan Miyan	...	...	Petitioner(s)
<i>Versus</i>			
The State of Jharkhand	...	...	Opposite Party(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rahul Ranjan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Ms. Anuradha Sahay, APP

2/04.06.2026 Heard Mr. Rahul Ranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is an accused in connection with Deoghar Cyber P.S.
Case No. 63/2026.

The petitioner and another person were apprehended by the police and from their possession, mobiles and SIMs were recovered. They were suspected to be involved in cyber-crime.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that no victim has come forward to lodge any complaint.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner was an accused in a case, but he has been acquitted in the said case.

It has further been submitted that petitioner is in custody since 01.05.2026.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Regard being had to the above, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Cyber-Crime, Special Court, Deoghar in connection with Deoghar Cyber P.S. Case No. 63/2026, **subject to the condition that one of the bailors should be a close relative of the petitioner.**

This bail application stands **allowed**.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)