

{ 2026:JHHC:16713}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5058 of 2026

Dhananjay Shukla @ Dhananjay Kr. Shukla, aged about 34 years, Son
of Uma Shankar Shukla, resident of village Kinamar, P.O. P.S. District-
Latehar, Jharkhand. ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand. ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra Shankar Singh, Advocate.
Mr. J.S. Singh, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Arup Kr. Dey, A.P.P.

Order No: -02 Dated: -10-06-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with S.T. Case No. 38 of 2025 arising out of Latehar P.S. Case No. 186 of 2024, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1) & 238 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

This is the third journey of the petitioner for prayer for regular bail, as earlier regular bail of the petitioner was rejected by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.08.2025 in B.A. No. 2187 of 2025 and vide order dated 28.11.2025 in B.A. No. 10315 of 2025.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the fresh ground is that P.W.5 has been examined in this case, but she like other 04 witnesses so far examined in this case, did not support the case of the prosecution and has been declared hostile and even though the prosecution put her leading questions, but still she has not supported the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been implicated in this case only on the basis of his own confessional leading to discovery of the alleged weapon of offence. It is then submitted that the petitioner undertakes that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and will not

annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case. It is lastly submitted that petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 25 and he been in custody since 17.11.2024 as mentioned in para-23 of the instant bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Latehar in connection with S.T. Case No. 38 of 2025 arising out of Latehar P.S. Case No. 186 of 2024 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

10/06/2026
Amar/